

Introduction to hadronic collisions: theoretical concepts and practical tools for the LHC


Lecture 3

*Università Roma I, La Sapienza
12-15 Febbraio 2007*

Michelangelo L. Mangano
TH Unit, Physics Dept, CERN
michelangelo.mangano@cern.ch

Factorization Theorem

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dX} = \sum_{j,k} \int_{\hat{X}} f_j(x_1, Q_i) f_k(x_2, Q_i) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{jk}(Q_i, Q_f)}{d\hat{X}} F(\hat{X} \rightarrow X; Q_i, Q_f)$$



$f_j(x, Q)$ Parton distribution functions (PDF)

$F(\hat{X} \rightarrow X; Q_i, Q_f)$

- sum over all initial state histories leading, at the scale Q , to:

$$\vec{p}_j = x \vec{P}_{proton}$$

- transition from partonic final state to the hadronic observable (hadronization, fragm. function, jet definition, etc)
 - Sum over all histories with X in them

- The possible histories of initial and final state, and their relative probabilities, are in principle independent of the hard process (they only depend on the flavours of partons involved and on the scales Q)
- Once an algorithm is developed to describe initial (IS) and final (FS) state evolution, it can be applied to partonic IS and FS arising from the calculation of an arbitrary hard process
- Depending on the extent to which different possible FS and IS histories affect the value of the observable X , different realizations of the factorization theorem can be implemented, and 3 different tools developed:

1. **Cross-section evaluators**
2. **Parton-level Monte Carlos**
3. **Shower Monte Carlos**

I: Cross-section evaluators

- Only some component of the final state is singled out for the measurement, all the rest being ignored (i.e. integrated over). E.g.
 $pp \rightarrow e^+ e^- + X$
- No 'events' are 'generated', only cross-sections are evaluated:

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow Z^0), \quad \frac{d\sigma}{dM(e^+ e^-) dy(e^+ e^-)}, \quad \dots$$

Experimental selection criteria (e.g. jet definition or acceptance) are applied on parton-level quantities. Provided these are infrared/collinear finite, it therefore doesn't matter what **F(X)** is, as we assume (*fact. theorem*) that:

$$\sum_X F(\hat{X}, X) = 1 \quad \forall \hat{X}$$

- Thanks to the inclusiveness of the result, it is 'straightforward' to include higher-order corrections, as well as to resum classes of dominant and subdominant logs

State of the art

- NLO available for:
 - jet and heavy quarks production
 - prompt photon production
 - gauge boson pairs
 - most new physics processes (e.g. SUSY)
- NNLO available for:
 - W/Z/DY production ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow W$)
 - Higgs production ($gg \rightarrow H$)

2: Parton-level (*aka* matrix-element) MC's

- Parton level configurations (i.e. sets of quarks and gluons) are generated, with probability proportional to the respective perturbative M.E.
- Transition function between a final-state parton and the observed object (jet, missing energy, lepton, etc) is unity
- No need to expand $f(x)$ or $F(X)$ in terms of histories, since they all lead to the same observable
- Experimentally, equivalent to assuming
 - perfect jet reconstruction ($\mathbf{P}_\mu^{parton} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\mu^{jet}$)
 - linear detector response

State of the art

- $W/Z/\text{gamma} + N \text{ jets } (N \leq 6)$
- $W/Z/\text{gamma} + Q \bar{Q} + N \text{ jets } (N \leq 4)$
- $Q \bar{Q} + N \text{ jets } (N \leq 4)$
- $Q \bar{Q} Q' \bar{Q}' + N \text{ jets } (N \leq 2)$
- $Q \bar{Q} H + N \text{ jets } (N \leq 3)$
- $nW + mZ + kH + N \text{ jets } (n+m+k+N \leq 8, N \leq 2)$
- $N \text{ jets } (N \leq 8)$

ALPGEN: MLM, Moretti,
Piccinini, Pittau, Polosa
MADGRAPH: Maltoni, Stelzer
CompHEP: Boos et al
VECBOS: Giele et al
NJETS: Giele et al
Kleiss, Papadopoulos
.....


Example of complexity of the calculations, for $gg \rightarrow N \text{ gluons}$:

Njets	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
# diag's	4	25	220	2485	34300	5×10^5	10^7


For each process, flavour state and colour flow (leading $1/N_c$) are calculated on an event-by-event basis, to allow QCD-coherent shower evolution

3: Shower Monte Carlos


Goal: complete description of the event,
at the level of individual hadrons



I: Generate the parton-level hard event




II: Develop the parton shower



II: Develop the parton shower


I. Final state




II: Develop the parton shower

1. Final state

2. Initial state




III: Hadronize partons




III: Hadronize partons

I. Split gluons into q - q bar pairs




III: Hadronize partons

1. Split gluons into q - \bar{q} pairs
2. Connect colour-singlet pairs



III: Hadronize partons

1. Split gluons into q - \bar{q} pairs
2. Connect colour-singlet pairs
3. Decay the colour-singlet clusters into hadron pairs




The shower algorithm

Sequential probabilistic evolution (Markov chain)

1

The shower algorithm


Sequential probabilistic evolution (Markov chain)



1


The shower algorithm

Sequential probabilistic evolution (Markov chain)



The shower algorithm


Sequential probabilistic evolution (Markov chain)



The probability of each emission only depends on the state of the splitting parton, and of the daughters. The QCD dynamics is encoded in these splitting probabilities.

The shower algorithm

Sequential probabilistic evolution (Markov chain)




The probability of each emission only depends on the state of the splitting parton, and of the daughters. The QCD dynamics is encoded in these splitting probabilities.

The total probability of all possible evolutions is 1 (unitary evolution).

- The shower evolution does not change the event rate inherited from the parton level, matrix element computation.
- No K-factors from the shower, even though the shower describes higher-order corrections to the leading-order process

Single emission



$$\frac{d\text{Prob}(Q_0 \rightarrow q^2)}{dq^2 dz d\phi} = P_0 \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{2\pi} \frac{1}{q^2} P(z)$$

$$P_0 \Rightarrow \int d\text{Prob} = 1$$

$q^2 \approx$ virtuality scale of the branching:

- $(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)^2$
- $\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2$
- \mathbf{k}_\perp^2
-

ϕ = azimuth


$z = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k}_2) / \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{k}) \approx$ energy/momentum fraction carried by one of the two partons after splitting

- $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{k}^0$
- $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{k} //$
- $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{k} // + \mathbf{k}^0$
- ...

$$\mu = f(z, q)$$

While at leading-logarithmic order (LL) all choices of evolution variables and of scale for α_s are equivalent, specific choices can lead to improved description of NLL effects and allow a more accurate and easy-to-implement inclusion of angular-ordering constraints and mass effects, as well as to a better merging of multijet ME's with the shower


Multiple emission



$$\text{Prob}(Q_0 \rightarrow Q_1) = P_0 \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_{Q_1}^{Q_0} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} dz P(z) d\phi$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob}(Q_0 \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2) &= P_0 \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_{Q_1}^{Q_0} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} dz P(z) d\phi \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_{Q_2}^{Q_1} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} dz P(z) d\phi \\ &\sim P_0 \frac{1}{2!} \left[\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_{Q_1}^{Q_0} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} dz P(z) d\phi \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Prob}(Q_0 \rightarrow X) = P_0 \times \sum \frac{1}{n!} \left[\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_{\Lambda}^{Q_0} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} dz P(z) d\phi \right]^n = 1 \quad \Lambda = \text{infrared cutoff}$$



$$P_0 = \exp \left\{ - \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_{\Lambda}^{Q_0} \frac{dq^2}{q^2} dz P(z) d\phi \right\}$$

P_0 = Sudakov form factor
 \sim probability of no emission
 between the scale Q_0 and Λ

Generation of splittings

1. Generate $0 < \xi_1 < 1$

2. If $\xi_1 < P(Q, \Lambda) \Rightarrow$ no radiation,
 q' goes directly on-shell at scale
 $\Lambda \approx \text{GeV}$

3. Else

1. calculate Q_1 such that $P(Q_1, \Lambda) = \xi_1$

2. emission at scale Q_1 :




4. Select z according to $P(z)$


5. Reconstruct the full kinematics of the splitting

6. Go back to 1) and reiterate, until shower stops in 2). At each step the probability of emission gets smaller and smaller

$$P(Q, \Lambda) = \exp \left[- \int_{\Lambda}^Q \frac{dq^2}{q^2} \frac{\alpha_s(q)}{2\pi} P(z) dz \right]$$

prob. of no radiation
 between
 Q and Λ





The existence of high-mass clusters, however rare, is unavoidable, due to IR cutoff which leads to a non-zero probability that no emission takes place. This is particularly true for evolution of massive quarks (as in, e.g. $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ or $c\bar{c}$). Prescriptions have to be defined to deal with the “evolution” of these clusters. **This has an impact on the $z \rightarrow \mathbf{r}$ behaviour of fragmentation functions.**

Phenomenologically, this leads to uncertainties, for example, in the background rates for $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ ($\text{jet} \rightarrow \gamma$).

This approach is extremely successful in describing the properties of hadronic final states!


Ex: Particle multiplicities:

Table 2: Multiplicities per event at 91.2 GeV. We show results from Herwig++ with the implementation of the old cluster hadronization model (Old Model) and the new model (Herwig++), and from HERWIG 6.5 shower and hadronization (Fortran). Parameter values used are given in table 1. Experiments are Aleph(A), Delphi(D), L3(L), Opal(O), Mk2(M) and SLD(S). The * indicates a prediction that differs from the measured value by more than three standard deviations.


Particle	Experiment	Measured	Old Model	Herwig++	Fortran
All Charged	M,A,D,L,O	20.924 ± 0.117	20.22*	20.814	20.532*
γ	A,O	21.27 ± 0.6	23.03	22.67	20.74
π^0	A,D,L,O	9.59 ± 0.33	10.27	10.08	9.88
$\rho(770)^0$	A,D	1.295 ± 0.125	1.235	1.316	1.07
π^\pm	A,O	17.04 ± 0.25	16.30	16.95	16.74
$\rho(770)^\pm$	O	2.4 ± 0.43	1.99	2.14	2.06
η	A,L,O	0.956 ± 0.049	0.886	0.893	0.669*
$\omega(782)$	A,L,O	1.083 ± 0.088	0.859	0.916	1.044
$\eta'(958)$	A,L,O	0.152 ± 0.03	0.13	0.136	0.106
K^0	S,A,D,L,O	2.027 ± 0.025	2.121*	2.062	2.026
$K^*(892)^0$	A,D,O	0.761 ± 0.032	0.667	0.681	0.583*
$K^*(1430)^0$	D,O	0.106 ± 0.06	0.065	0.079	0.072
K^\pm	A,D,O	2.319 ± 0.079	2.335	2.286	2.250
$K^*(892)^\pm$	A,D,O	0.731 ± 0.058	0.637	0.657	0.578
$\phi(1020)$	A,D,O	0.097 ± 0.007	0.107	0.114	0.134*
p	A,D,O	0.991 ± 0.054	0.981	0.947	1.027
Δ^{++}	D,O	0.088 ± 0.034	0.185	0.092	0.209*
Σ^-	O	0.083 ± 0.011	0.063	0.071	0.071
Λ	A,D,L,O	0.373 ± 0.008	0.325*	0.384	0.347*
Λ^0	A,D,O	0.074 ± 0.009	0.078	0.091	0.063
Λ^+	O	0.099 ± 0.015	0.067	0.077	0.088
$\Lambda(1385)^\pm$	A,D,O	0.0471 ± 0.0046	0.057	0.0312*	0.061*
Λ^-	A,D,O	0.0262 ± 0.001	0.024	0.0286	0.029
$\Lambda(1530)^0$	A,D,O	0.0058 ± 0.001	0.026*	0.0288*	0.009*
$\Lambda^0 \Lambda^0$	A,D,O	0.00125 ± 0.00024	0.001	0.00144	0.0009
$f_2(1270)$	D,L,O	0.168 ± 0.021	0.113	0.150	0.173
$f_2'(1525)$	D	0.02 ± 0.008	0.003	0.012	0.012
D^\pm	A,D,O	0.184 ± 0.018	0.322*	0.319*	0.283*
$D^*(2010)^\pm$	A,D,O	0.182 ± 0.009	0.168	0.180	0.151*
D^0	A,D,O	0.473 ± 0.026	0.625*	0.570*	0.501
D_s^\pm	A,O	0.129 ± 0.013	0.218*	0.195*	0.127
$D_s^{*\pm}$	O	0.096 ± 0.046	0.082	0.066	0.043
J/Ψ	A,D,L,O	0.00544 ± 0.00029	0.006	0.00361*	0.002*
Λ_c^+	D,O	0.077 ± 0.016	0.006*	0.023*	0.001*
$\Psi'(3685)$	D,L,O	0.00229 ± 0.00041	0.001*	0.00178	0.0008*

Ex: Energy distributions

(Winter, Krauss, Soff,
hep-ph/0311085)




Ex: Transverse momenta w.r.t. thrust axis:



Main limitation of shower approach:

Because of angular ordering




➡ **no emission outside $C_1 \oplus C_2$:**

- lack of hard, large-angle emission
- poor description of multijet events

➡ **incoherent emission inside $C_1 \oplus C_2$:**


- loss of accuracy for intrajet radiation

Example




22

This approach is also afflicted by difficulties:



with $p_{T1} \ll p_{T4} \ll p_{T2}, p_{T3}$

versus



\Rightarrow double counting of the same phase-space points

Recent work started providing solutions to these problems, and new generations of MC codes successfully combine higher-order ME and shower evolution (“CKKW”, “MLM matching”)

COMPLEMENTARITY OF THE 3 TOOLS

	ME MC's	X-sect evaluators	Shower MC's
Final state description	Hard partons → jets. Describes geometry, correlations, etc	Limited access to final state structure	Full information available at the hadron level
Higher order effects: loop corrections	Hard to implement, require introduction of negative probabilities	Straightforward to implement, when available	Included as vertex corrections (Sudakov FF's)
Higher order effects: hard emissions	Included, up to high orders (multijets)	Straightforward to implement, when available	Approximate, incomplete phase space at large angle

Recent progress:

MC@NLO for full 1-loop corrections

New algorithms to merge hard ME with showers